

May 2, 1983

LB 356A, 356, 604

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The report is adopted. We'll move on to Number 7 now on LB 356A.

CLERK: Mr. President, 356A offered by Senator Cullan. (Read title.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. Senator Cullan isn't here today; he's the introducer of LB 356. This is the A bill for 356. 356 deals with uranium mining and provides for a regulation of it and LB 356A provides of money to do that. There is embodied in LB 356 provisions for a fee to be charged for inspections and so in the end it will be self-supporting but at this time, it's uncertain as to how many people will be drilling, how much to charge them, and so at least for the first year, we're going to have some startup costs that will be reimbursed from the fees but hopefully after the first year it will all be self-supporting. So LB 356A is needed at this time.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Any further discussion on 356A? The question is the advancement of LB 356A. All those in favor, vote aye. Opposed, nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to advance the A bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: LB 356 A is advanced. Move on to motions. LB 604.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Carsten would move to place LB 604 on General File notwithstanding the action of the Committee. Senator Carsten's motion is found on page 1719 of the Journal.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Cal Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would move for the taking up of the motion at this time and for the consideration of LB 604 in spite of the decision of the committee. I wear this hat this morning cause I feel like I need it. This has been one of those things that's been pounded around quite a bit and my head is a little sore. But I will take it off now and get down to serious business. I would move for the adoption of the motion, Mr. President. And with that move, I would also ask the Chair for some clarification so that I do proceed properly. As I understand the interpretation of the rules, when we move for reconsideration